Transfer of Sulfur from Arsenic and Antimony Sulfides to Phosphorus Sulfides. Rational Syntheses of Several Less-Common P_4S_n Species

Mark E. Jason

Monsanto Company, Performance Materials, 800 N. Lindbergh Blvd., T3W, St. Louis, Missouri 63167

Received December 13, 1996[⊗]

It has been shown that triphenylarsenic sulfide and triphenylantimony sulfide rapidly transfer sulfur to a number of the known phosphorus sulfides. The reactions are performed at or below room temperature in carbon disulfide solutions. The transfers are neither highly selective nor random, making them useful but not ideal for synthetic purposes. The moderate selectivities of the reactions have been used in the assignment of structures to two new phosphorus sulfides, structures with molecular formulas P_4S_6 and P_4S_8 . The reactions of P_4S_3 are unusual in that products with 7–9 sulfur atoms in the molecule are formed competitively with low sulfur products. The usefulness of triphenylantimony sulfide is limited by its tendency to undergo reductive elimination of sulfur. This reduction takes the form of a disproportionation to give triphenylantimony and elemental sulfur and has been shown to occur by a second-order process that appears to involve the formation of disulfur, S₂.

Introduction

The preceding paper¹ discusses the reactions of elemental phosphorus with elemental sulfur. In the history of this chemistry, there have been relatively few tools in the craft of assembling the products of these reactions. In fact, all synthetic efforts can be collected into three categories: thermal reactions (t),^{2–7} abstraction of sulfur with phosphines (a),^{8–10} and construction of P–S bonds with sulfur equivalents (c).^{11–13} Examples of these three types of reaction are shown in Figure 1. An association can be made between each of the previously isolated phosphorus sulfides and its methods of preparation, as is done in Figure 2. Clearly, the most common method is the equilibration of a mixture of phosphorus, or alternatively a lower sulfide, and sulfur.

The nonthermal reactions in Figure 1 are mild and reasonably specific. Triphenylphosphine apparently plucks off an exocyclic sulfur from the outside of a phosphorus sulfide cage in distinct preference to removal of an endocyclic sulfur. The oxidation reactions shown in Figure 1 are quite specific: the intermediate diiodide (or similar structure) is required in order to carry out

[®] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, May 15, 1997.

- Preceding article: Jason, M. E.; Ngo, T.; Rahman, S. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 2633–2640.
- (2) Hoffman, H.; Becke-Goering, M. In *Topics in Phosphorus Chemistry*; Griffith, E. J., Grayson, M., Eds.; Interscience Publishers: New York, 1976; Vol. 8, pp 193–271.
- (3) Brylewicz, Z.; Rudnicki, R. Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon, **1994**, 89, 173–179.
- (4) Bues, W.; Somer, M.; Brockner, W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1981, 476, 153–158.
- (5) Thamm, R.; Heckmann, G.; Fluck, E. Phosphorus Sulfur 1981, 11, 273-278.
- (6) Bjorholm, T.; Jakobsen, H. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 27–32.
 (7) Blachnik, R.; Peukert, U.; Czediwoda, A.; Engelen, B.; Boldt, K. Z.
- Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1995, 621, 1637–1643.
- (8) Meisel, M.; Grunze, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1970, 373, 265–278.
 (9) Barieux, J.-J.; Demarcq, M. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982,
- 176–177.
 (10) Griffin, A. M.; Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 1975, B31, 2738–2740
- (11) Griffin, A. M.; Minshall, P. C.; Sheldrick, G. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 809–810.
- (12) Minshall, P. C.; Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr., 1978, B34, 1326– 1328.
- (13) Chang, C.-C.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; Norman, A. D. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 2056–2062.



Figure 1. Examples of the known synthetic methods used to prepare phosphorus sulfides.

the second step. It is evident there are few known ways in which this class of compounds can be intelligently manipulated.

It was in asking the question whether the reduction of phosphorus sulfides with triphenylphosphine was a reversible reaction that another tool in the synthetic chemistry of these compounds was struck. Triphenylphosphine sulfide, under any reasonable conditions, does not return sulfur to any of the easily prepared phosphorus sulfides. But Baechler had already shown that other, better, sulfur donors exist and that the rates of sulfur transfer from triphenylphosphine were fast.¹⁴ It remained only to put the phosphorus sulfides together with these more willing donors.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Baechler, R. D.; Stack, M.; Stevenson, K.; Vanvalkenburgh, V. Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon 1990, 48, 49–52.



Figure 2. Known phosphorus sulfides, their trivial names, and the literature methods used to prepare them. In the figure "t" refers to thermal, "a" to abstraction, and "c" to construction methods of synthesis. See text for discussion.

The reactions of phosphorus sulfides with triphenylarsenic sulfide and triphenylantimony sulfide have provided the synthetic entry into heretofore unavailable phosphorus sulfides as well as deliver strong evidence for the structures of several phosphorus sulfides that have only been observed as low concentration products from the reactions of phosphorus and sulfur. These reactive triphenylelement sulfides have the potential to be remarkable reagents for both the transfer of sulfur, to phosphorus and other acceptors, and the determination of sulfide structures.

Experimental Section

General Information. P_4S_3 was obtained from Fluka Chemical Co. It was recrystallized from CS_2 prior to use. P_4S_{10} was purified by Soxhlet extraction. Carbon disulfide used for spectroscopy was used as received (Fisher); the carbon disulfide used as solvent for chemical reactions was dried by refluxing over P_4S_{10} . α - P_4S_5 , $^{15}\beta$ - P_4S_5 , $^4 \alpha$ - P_4S_7 , 5 and α - $P_4S_9^3$ were prepared according to literature procedures. Triphenylphosphine, triphenylarsenic, and triphenylantimony are commercially available from Aldrich Chemical Co., and triphenylantimony sulfide is available from Strem Chemical Co. Only triphenylphosphine was recrystallized (EtOH) prior to use. Triphenylphosphine sulfide was harvested from the preparation of β - P_4S_5 and recrystallized twice. Triphenylarsenic sulfide was prepared according to the literature.¹⁴

All of the synthetic and sample preparations were performed either using Schlenk techniques, under nitrogen, or in a glovebag. Sulfides were most commonly stored under vacuum in Schlenk tubes in the dark. Spectroscopy was performed as described in the previous paper.¹

Spin simulation and refinement calculations were performed using the program gNMR (Cherwell, Ltd., available from SoftShell). All of the chemical shifts and coupling constants for the species reported in this study were obtained by fitting the spectroscopic data. A table of these data can be found in the Supporting Information.

Typical Reaction of Triphenylarsenic or Triphenylantimony Sulfide with a Phosphorus Sulfide. A 100 mL three neck flask, fitted with a reflux condenser, nitrogen inlet, thermocouple, and magnetic stirring bar, was charged, in order, with 30 mL of dry carbon disulfide, 0.185 g (5.3×10^{-4} mol) of α-P₄S₇, and 0.539 g (15.9×10^{-4} mol) of triphenylarsenic sulfide, all at room temperature. A thin slurry of α-P₄S₇ was produced. At 30 min, the solution had become cloudy. After 2 h, an off-white precipitate had formed and the solution was no longer cloudy. The newly formed precipitate had deposited on the unreacted α-P₄S₇. Samples of the carbon disulfide layer were removed, added to a nitrogen-purged NMR tube containing a lock capillary, and analyzed by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy.

Synthesis of α -P₄S₆. To a Schlenk flask was added 0.224 g (7.88 $\times 10^{-4}$ mol) of α -P₄S₅ and 90 mL of dry carbon disulfide. Triphenylarsenic sulfide, 0.265 g (7.84 \times 10⁻⁴ mol), was added as a dry solid under nitrogen. After 2 h, ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy showed greater than 90% reduction of the triphenylarsenic sulfide and slightly more than 50% conversion of the α -P₄S₅ to other products. A second addition of arsine sulfide, 0.204 g (6.02 \times 10⁻⁴ mol), was made and the reaction continued at room temperature for another 2 h. The phosphorus sulfides were precipitated by the addition of 11 mL of dry toluene and removal of the carbon disulfide under mild vacuum. A light yellow solid was obtained that was filtered under argon, washed with toluene, and dried under vacuum. This solid contained a small amount of residual α -P₄S₅ along with α -P₄S₆ as the major product, γ -P₄S₆, α -P₄S₇, and a very small quantity of β -P₄S₈. Most of the α -P₄S₇ could be removed by partially dissolving the crude solids in 90 mL of hot carbon disulfide and filtering. A second crop of α -P₄S₇ was removed by cooling the filtrate in CO₂/acetone and filtering the slurry. The product was obtained by evaporating the filtrate to about 5 mL total volume under vacuum followed by filtration. The assay of this material by ³¹P NMR showed 84% α -P₄S₆, 10% γ -P₄S₆, and 6% α -P₄S₇. This mixture gave an M + 1 peak for P_4S_6 as the base peak in the (isobutane) CI mass spectrum.

Synthesis of β **-P4S**₈. α -P4S₇, 0.142 g (4.071 × 10⁻⁴ mol), was added to 250 mL of dry, distilled carbon disulfide in a round bottom flask. The mixture was maintained under a nitrogen atmosphere and stirred until only a small amount of solid remained. A solution of 0.116 g (3.42 × 10⁻⁴ mol, 84% of theory) of triphenylarsenic sulfide in 10 mL of dry carbon disulfide was loaded into a syringe. The sulfide solution was added through a septum to the α -P4S₇ solution in 1 mL increments over the course of 140 min. The mixture was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of the CS₂ solution showed only α -P4S₇ and β -P4S₈. After 24 h, 10 mL of dry toluene was added and most of the CS₂ distilled at atmospheric pressure. The precipitate that formed was identified as α -P4S₇, and the filtrate showed a 1:3 ratio of α -P4S₇ to β -P4S₈. Attempts to further enrich this material in β -P4S₈ were not successful.

Kinetics of Triphenylantimony Sulfide Decomposition. Solution kinetics were performed by diluting 84.4 mg of commercial triphenylantimony sulfide in a 10 mL volumetric flask with dry, distilled carbon disulfide. The solution concentration was 0.022 M. Four NMR tubes were prepared from this stock solution by volumetric dilution directly in the tubes. The NMR tubes were evacuated and sealed. The NMR spectrometer was thermostated at 35.0 °C. The ¹H NMR spectroscopy used a 30° pulse, a 10 s delay, and summation of 16 transients. The aromatic signals for triphenylantimony sulfide and triphenylantimony are baseline resolved, and quantitation was performed by integration of these signals. The analysis of the kinetics was performed by numerical integration using the program SIMUSOLV (Mitchell and Gauthier).

Reaction of Triphenylantimony Sulfide with 2,3-Dimethylbutadiene. To a 5 mL flask fitted with a stir bar and septum was added, in order, 0.85 g (2.21 mmol) of triphenylantimony sulfide, 0.93 g (11.3 mmol) of 2,3-dimethylbutadiene (Aldrich), and 1 mL of f CS₂. The mixture was sealed with a septum and stirred overnight at room temperature. After about 18 h, the material was a paste, primarily as a result of loss of CS₂ by evaporation. A small sample of the reaction mass was dissolved in CS₂ in an NMR tube. The ¹³C NMR peaks for

⁽¹⁵⁾ Brauer, G. *Handbook of Preparative Inorganic Chemistry*; Ferdinand Enke Verlak: Stuttgart, Germany, 1960; p 506.

Table 1. Reactant Ratios and Products from the Reactions of Phosphorus Sulfides with Triphenylarsenic Sulfide (19) and TriphenylantimonySulfide (21)

reacn			Ph ₃ MS/	product composn																
no.	sulfide	Ph ₃ MS	P ₄ Sn	2	3	5	6	8	9	10	11	12	14	15	16	17	18	Е	F	Н
1	$P_4S_3(2)$	19	1.2	93							1		1		3		2			
2	$P_4S_3(2)$	21	0.7	95				0.5			1		0.5		3	а	1			
3	$\alpha - P_4 S_5 (5)$	19	0.2	2		76		14		6	2									
4	$\alpha - P_4 S_5 (5)$	19	1.0	1		46		33		9	11									
5	$\alpha - P_4 S_5(5)$	19	2.0	2		0		47			25		5		21					
6	$\alpha - P_4 S_5 (5)$	19	1.0	1		18		61		13	6		1							
7	$\alpha - P_4 S_5 (5)$	19	1.0			39		37		21	4		а							
8	β -P ₄ S ₅ (6)	19	0.9				42		35		15	7								
9	β -P ₄ S ₅ (6)	19	4.5								30		70				1			
10	$\alpha - P_4 S_7 (11)$	19	0.6								28		69				1	1		
11	$\alpha - P_4 S_7 (11)$	19	1.0								28		67	а			а	4		
12	$\alpha - P_4 S_7 (11)$	19	2.0								20		73	1			1	5		
13	$\alpha - P_4 S_7 (11)$	21	1.7								29		20		37	b	14		b	b
14	$\alpha - P_4 S_7 (11)$	21	3.0								15		11		54	b	20		b	b
15	$\alpha - P_4 S_7 (11)$	19	3.0								9		10		36	12	26		7	1
16	$\alpha - P_4 S_7 (11)$	21	4.0								0		0		31	6	63			
18	$\alpha - P_4 S_9 (16)$	19	0.5								4		5		53		39			
17	$\alpha - P_4 S_9 (16)$	19	2.0												58		42			
19	P ₄ S ₁₀ (18)	19	1.0												0		100			

^a Visible by NMR spectroscopy but too small to quantify. ^b Appears in filtrate after filtration and standing at room temperature for 1 day.

the adduct disulfide and tetrasulfide were observed as minor components.¹⁶ These results are discussed below.

Results

Reactions of Phosphorus Sulfides with Triphenylarsenic Sulfide (19). The relative concentrations of the products of many of the reactions performed in this study are collected in Table 1. The relative percent figures in Table 1 are taken from the ³¹P NMR spectra of the CS₂-soluble material from the reaction and therefore are not relative vields. The data in Table 1 should be used to separate major from minor products. Because of the small scale (1-5 mg) of many of these experiments that were performed directly in NMR tubes, it was not possible to quantitatively recover and independently assay the solids. The structures of the products, as well as they are understood, are shown in Figure 2. The structures for compounds E, F, and H are unknown at this time, though the chemical shifts and coupling constants have been refined. Compound E shows two triplets: 92.3 and 39.2 ppm with a coupling constant of 12.3 Hz. Compound F shows three unique phosphorus signals: P₁, 47.6 ppm; P_{2,3}, 42.7 ppm; P₄, 26.5 ppm; coupling constants $J_{1,2-3} = 32.3$ Hz, $J_{1,4} = 29.0$ Hz, and $J_{2-3,4}$ = 88.8 Hz. Compound H shows an A_3B pattern: P_1 , 44.9 ppm; P_{2-4} , 27.6 ppm; $J_{1,2-4} = 29.9$ Hz. The mixtures in which these sulfides appear, the chemical shift ranges, and the small coupling constants all argue that these materials are phosphorus-sulfur cages of high sulfur content. They are produced from reactions with both triphenylarsenic sulfide and triphenylantimony sulfide, making it unlikely they incorporate the Ph₃M group in the structure.

These reactions would often not go to completion, as measured by the ¹H NMR spectrum of the solution. The chemical shifts of **19** and triphenylarsenic (**20**) are well separated and easily integrated. The higher sulfides (α -P₄S₉, P₄S₁₀) appear capable of transferring sulfur to **20**. Thus, unlike the removal of sulfur from higher sulfides with triphenylphosphine, an apparently irreversible reaction, the transfer of sulfur from **19** to phosphorus compounds is reversible.

Reactions of Phosphorus Sulfides with Triphenylantimony Sulfide (21). The work of Baechler and his students¹⁴ predicted that triphenylantimony sulfide (21) would react even more efficiently than 19 with phosphorus sulfides. The equilibrium constants for transfer of sulfur to the phosphorus sulfides should then be larger than for **19**; the transfers should be more nearly quantitative. However, the reactions between the phosphorus sulfides and **21** were far less complete than with **19** at the same stoichiometry.

Attempts to prepare moderate concentration solutions of **21** in carbon disulfide resulted in disproportionation to give triphenylantimony (**22**) and a noncrystalline form of sulfur. These results are discussed below. Typically, cold carbon disulfide would be added to a flask containing **21** and the phosphorus sulfide cooled in an ice bath in order to minimize the disproportionation. The reactions were allowed to proceed cold for a few minutes and were then warmed slowly to room temperature. In all cases, a precipitate of an uncharacterized form of sulfur, often contaminated with phosphorus sulfides, was noticed immediately upon addition of the carbon disulfide. Because of these limitations on the use of **21**, most of the synthetic and mechanistic studies were performed with the arsenic sulfide **19**.

Reactions of Phosphorus Sulfides with Triphenylarsenic and Triphenylantimony. During the investigation of the possible mechanisms for these sulfide transfer reactions, it was important to know the extent to which they were reversible or participated in addition reactions with the intermediate sulfides. In separate experiments, β -P₄S₅ and α -P₄S₇ were allowed to react with an equivalent of **22** for 24 h in refluxing carbon disulfide. No reaction to form other phosphorus sulfides was observed by ³¹P NMR, and no formation of triphenylantimony sulfide was observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

Excess P_4S_{10} was observed to rapidly oxidize **20** to give **19**, with α -P₄S₉ as the only phosphorus sulfide produced. Similarly, α -P₄S₉ was very slowly reduced to give predominately α -P₄S₇ along with a small quantity of α -P₄S₈. Because of the slow self-reaction of **19** to give sulfur and **20**, the calculation of equilibrium constants from the data was not possible.

Stability of the Reagents. One of the first observations made in working with triphenylantimony sulfide (**21**) was its rapid reaction with itself. Although dilute solutions of this reagent could be prepared and kept for short periods of time at low temperature, high concentrations of triphenylantimony sulfide in carbon disulfide at room temperature rapidly led to the precipitation of sulfur. The reaction was observed in a number of common NMR solvents (CS₂, CDCl₃, acetone- d_6 , and CD₃-CN) making it unlikely the decomposition requires the participation of the solvent.

Kinetic analyses of the reduction of triphenylantimony sulfide in carbon disulfide at 35 °C were performed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The second-order rate constant was 0.014 \pm 0.002 s⁻¹ M⁻¹. Some of the reactions displayed precise secondorder kinetic behavior while others were better fit by the addition of a companion first-order process.

The self-reaction of triphenylarsenic sulfide, **19**, was significantly slower than that of the antimony sulfide. The disproportionation of **19** does not to go to completion. At 25 °C, approximately 17% of the sulfide is reduced to triphenylarsenic, **20**, as measured by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. A kinetic analysis was not performed.

Discussion

The sulfur transfer reactions of triphenylarsenic sulfide and triphenylantimony sulfide with the phosphorus sulfides have provided a much needed tool for the manipulation of these unique chemical structures. The first question evaluated was the potential of these two materials as synthetic reagents. Because of the limitations experienced with the use of **21** mentioned above, all the truly synthetic experiments were carried out with **19**. The easy syntheses of α -P₄S₅ and α -P₄S₇, as well as the importance of assigning the structures of the products expected from the single addition of sulfur to them, led to the use of these two sulfides as examples for judging synthetic utility.

From small-scale reactions it was clear the predominant product from the oxidation of α -P₄S₅ with **19** was α -P₄S₆, making it a reasonable target for isolation and purification. After removal of all of the triphenylarsenic species from the mixture, the crude mixture had the following composition: α -P₄S₅, 4%; α -P₄S₆, 71%; γ -P₄S₆, 16%; α -P₄S₇, 7%; β -P₄S₈, 1%; α -P₄S₉, 1%. A series of precipitations was used to remove all of the residual α -P₄S₅ and the two highest sulfides, β -P₄S₈ and α -P₄S₉. The analysis of the material at the end of three stages of purification was: α -P₄S₆, 84%; γ -P₄S₆, 10%; α -P₄S₇, 6%. The similar solubilities of these three prevented continued recrystallization from improving the purity of α -P₄S₆.

The stoichiometric oxidation of α -P₄S₇ with **19** gives one major product, β -P₄S₈, contaminated with unreacted starting material and small amounts of higher sulfides as shown in reaction 12 of Table 1. Attempts to purify the β -P₄S₈ by the precipitation of α -P₄S₇ led to the disproportionation of β -P₄S₈ with the formation of α -P₄S₇, both P₄S₉ isomers, P₄S₁₀, and two of the unknown sulfides, compounds F and H. This behavior is consistent with the thermal sensitivity of β -P₄S₈ discussed in the preceding article.¹

In order for these reactions to be useful for the assignment of structure, the first formed products must be predictable from the structure of the starting phosphorus sulfide. There are a number of potential reactions that are easily drawn for these additions. The most likely are shown in Figure 3. Reactions a and b simply transfer a sulfur from arsenic to phosphorus, though the paths are quite different. Either reaction running in reverse could represent the reduction of phosphorus sulfides by triphenylphosphine, and it would be reasonable to expect that oxidation and reduction reactions are mechanistically connected. Unfortunately, there has been neither experimental nor theoretical evaluation of the mechanism of either the oxidation or the reduction reaction.

Both types of reactions shown in Figure 3, oxidation of a trivalent phosphorus and ring expansion by attack at a tetravalent



Figure 3. Examples of reaction paths for the addition of sulfur to α -P₄S₅ and α -P₄S₆.



Figure 4. The four C_1 isomers of P_4S_6 .

phosphorus, are observed in the reactions of **19** and **21** with the various phosphorus sulfides. The reaction of α -P₄S₅ with less than 1 equiv of **19** (experiment 3, Table 1) gives rise to two major products, α -P₄S₆ and γ -P₄S₆. In *no* reaction of α -P₄S₅ with **19**, regardless of stoichiometry or reaction conditions, is β -P₄S₆ observed. Since the structures of α -P₄S₅ and β -P₄S₆ are known from X-ray diffraction studies, path *c* of Figure 3 plays no part in the reaction of α -P₄S₅ with **19**.

The work of Bjorholm has shown the mother-daughter relationship between α -P₄S₅ and α -P₄S₆, although the exact placement of the extra sulfur atom in α -P₄S₆ was left in doubt. The symmetry of α -P₄S₆ is known to be C_1 . Among all of the structural isomers of P₄S₆ built upon the expansion of a P₄ tetrahedron, there are four with C_1 symmetry (Figure 4). Structures 8 and 26 are the two structures already proposed for α -P₄S₆^{6,7} structures 27 and 28 are far less likely. These last two structures utilize a phosphorus-sulfur cage that is not seen in any other known phosphorus sulfide. In addition, all three of the ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ values that one would measure in 27 and 28 would be large (>150 Hz), rather than only two.¹ Finally, one can use a plausibility argument to reinforce the distinction. The reaction of α -P₄S₅ with **19** to give either **27** or **28** would require the formation of a new PP bond. A sulfur atom donor is not expected to cause the reduction of a phosphorus-sulfur cage under the mild conditions of these reactions (carbon disulfide and 0-25 °C). Therefore, structures 8 and 26 are the only two that need be considered for α -P₄S₆, and the conclusion one must draw is that the reaction of α -P₄S₅ to give α -P₄S₆ is the oxidation of a trivalent phosphorus.



Figure 5. The seven C_s isomers of P_4S_6 .

The chemistry of β -P₄S₅, as discussed below, gives further weight to the assignment of structure **8** to α -P₄S₆. In both of the first two reactions of β -P₄S₅ the faster (or only) oxidation is on the phosphorus of higher formal oxidation state. Thus, only the P^{III} phosphorus atoms are oxidized in β -P₄S₅, and the P^{III} site is more rapidly oxidized than the P^{II} sites in β -P₄S₆. This ordering would be upheld for α -P₄S₅ only if α -P₄S₆ has structure **8**. The reaction of α -P₄S₅ to give **26** requires the oxidation of a formally P^I center.

What about γ -P₄S₆? Studies involving both short reaction times and substoichiometric oxidations of α -P₄S₅ argue that γ -P₄S₆ is a first-formed product of the oxidation of α -P₄S₅. There are seven isomers of P₄S₆, shown in Figure 5, that have the necessary symmetry to give rise to the observed AB₂C ³¹P NMR spectrum: P₁, 169.5 ppm; P_{2,3}, 103.1 ppm; P₄, 58.4 ppm; coupling constants $J_{1,2-3} = 38.7$ Hz, $J_{1,4} = 46.7$ Hz, $J_{2-3,4} =$ 298.8 Hz. It is difficult to draw straightforward conversions that transform **5** into any of the products **30–33**. Structure **9** is already known to be β -P₄S₆. It is also well-known that the substructure P—P=S displays large coupling constants, typically larger than 200 Hz.^{1,6} Of the remaining isomers, **10** and **29**, only **10** is consistent with the ³¹P NMR spectrum. Therefore, the transformation of α -P₄S₅ into γ -P₄S₆ involves only the oxidation of a P^{II} phosphorus atom to give structure **10**.

There are three possible products from the oxidation of a trivalent phosphorus in α -P₄S₅. The third member of the group, structure **26**, is not found in this mixture of products. Unlike α -P₄S₆, extensive rearrangements would be required to transform **26** into α -P₄S₇, making it unlikely that **26** is formed competitively with **8** and **10**. If it were produced in a quantity similar to the observed isomers of P₄S₆, it would have to react rapidly either by decomposition or with another 1 mol of triphenyl-arsenic sulfide.

The last common product of the reaction of α -P₄S₅ with 19 is α -P₄S₇. This reaction must involve a P–P bond cleavage step. The oxidation of α -P₄S₆ (8) as shown in reaction c of Figure 3 is one possible mechanism for the insertion of a sulfur into the cage with formation of α -P₄S₇ (11). This reaction is an association-rearrangement pair that leaves the attacked phosphorus tetravalent and simply places the sulfur from 19 between a pair of phosphorus atoms. The mechanism drawn is analogous to the Baeyer-Villiger reaction of organic chemistry. If the ring expansions of the phosphorus sulfide cages involve simple rather than multistep reactions, the new sulfur must be placed adjacent to an existing tetravalent phosphorus. Thus, structure 8 should readily lead to α -P₄S₇. It is also the only structure among the group 8, 10, and 26 that has a bond between two trivalent phosphorus atoms, a necessary feature of 11. Both 10 and 26 would require either reduction of a phosphorus or PP bond formation during the oxidative transformation. Assuming the above discussion is correct, then α -P₄S₆ is structure **8** and not **26**, and the major source of the α -P₄S₇ in these reactions involves the oxidation of α -P₄S₆ and not γ -P₄S₆. The oxidation of γ -P₄S₆ by the same mechanism should lead to β -P₄S₇.

The oxidation of β -P₄S₅ provides a little more insight into the dominant mechanisms and the stability of the phosphorus sulfides. The major product from the reaction of β -P₄S₅ with **19** is β -P₄S₆, structure **9**. The structures of both β -P₄S₅¹⁰ and β -P₄S₆⁷ have been determined by X-ray crystallography and are not in question. The major product of the second oxidation is, not surprisingly, α -P₄S₇, the result of the oxidation of the other P^{III} center in β -P₄S₅. β -P₄S₇ is produced by the oxidation of β -P₄S₆ at a rate between ¹/₈ and ¹/₄ that for the formation of α -P₄S₇ (statistically corrected), indicating the rate of oxidation in this sulfide observes the order P^{III} > P^{II}. The structure for β -P₄S₇ given by Blachnik is consistent with this chemistry as well as the ³¹P NMR spectroscopy expected of structure **12**.

The dominant reaction of α -P₄S₇ with **19** under stoichiometric conditions is formation of β -P₄S₈, structure **14**. The structure assigned by Blachnik to this compound is consistent with the oxidation of one of the P^{II} phosphorus centers in α -P₄S₇. This chemistry provides strong support for both the stoichiometry and the structure of β -P₄S₈.

There are two minor components of the reaction of α -P₄S₇ with **19** that are difficult to explain. The first is a material that has been labeled γ -P₄S₈. In carbon disulfide it has the following parameters for an A₂BC coupling system: P_{1,2}, 95.4 ppm; P₃, 57.2 ppm; P₃, 44.2 ppm; coupling constants $J_{1-2,3} = 20.1$ Hz, $J_{1-2,4} = 30.9$ Hz, and $J_{3,4} = 156.0$ Hz. The structure of this compound was assigned to the isomer of P₄S₈ shown as compound **15**. There are only two structures with stoichiometries between P₄S₈ and P₄S₁₀ that have the symmetry dictated by the NMR spectrum and no S–S bonds: structures **14** and **15**. The assignment of **14** to β -P₄S₈, derived from this and Blachnik's⁷ effort, is reasonably secure, and that of **15** to γ -P₄S₈, more tenuous.

The observation of γ -P₄S₈ in this reaction mixture poses a problem: There is no straightforward way to get from α -P₄S₇ to γ -P₄S₈ without either sulfide exchange or a series of rearrangements. The fact that this material appears as a minor component of the reactions of phosphorus and sulfur detailed in the preceding article gives support to the notion that this NMR pattern corresponds to a binary phosphorus sulfide of at least moderate stability and longevity.

The second minor component has been labeled compound E and, by virtue of its coupling pattern, has four phosphorus atoms in the structure as two chemically equivalent pairs (or a multiple of this arrangement). The only structures with stoichiometries between P_4S_8 and P_4S_{10} that have the necessary symmetry have already been ascribed to α -P₄S₈ (13) and β -P₄S₉ (17). Compound E is also a transient species, being observed within the first several hours of the reaction and being absent from a spectrum taken at 24 h. Because there is no reaction between α -P₄S₇ and triphenylarsenic, the stoichiometry of compound E should be P_4S_7 or higher. Compound E, and other unassigned species in these mixtures, may be cage disulfides.

The reactions of α -P₄S₉ with **19** were observed to be either simple or complex, depending on the manner in which they were performed. If the α -P₄S₉ is completely dissolved in carbon disulfide prior to the addition of a carbon disulfide solution of **19**, the only materials observed are α -P₄S₉, P₄S₁₀, α -P₄S₇ (the sample of α -P₄S₉ contained 5–10% α -P₄S₇), and β -P₄S₈, made from the residual α -P₄S₇. The relative quantity of the sum of the α -P₄S₇ and β -P₄S₈ concentrations remained roughly constant through the course of the reaction and well afterward, indicating no reduction of α -P₄S₉ by the triphenylarsenic formed in the



Figure 6. Possible intermediates in the reaction of P_4S_3 with 19. See text for details.

reaction. If the α -P₄S₉ was present as a solid during the reaction, several other species were formed, not as spurious products but rather as the consistently observed outcome of reactions run with solid sulfides present. These experiments were not included in Table 1.

The reactions of P_4S_{10} with **19** were decidedly dull. The small amount of α - P_4S_9 present in the sample was converted to P_4S_{10} ; no other reactions were apparent. In this system, it did not matter whether the phosphorus sulfide was completely dissolved or not. None of the unusual products mentioned above were observed, indicating that the unusual species from the oxidations of solid α - P_4S_9 are not the result of oxidation of P_4S_{10} either as a solid or in solution.

The reaction of P_4S_3 with **19** bears little resemblance to the calm and seemingly rational chemistry displayed by the higher sulfides. The first difference is the rate of reaction. P_4S_3 reacts so slowly that within the first 30 min at room temperature no reaction is visible by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. By contrast, all of the other sulfides show extensive or complete reaction within this same time period. Second, there are no products of intermediate sulfur content. The lowest sulfide observed is α -P₄S₇. Though there are countless paths that link P₄S₃ with α -P₄S₇, the formation of either **34** or **35**, as shown in Figure 6, is consistent with the chemistry of the higher sulfides. Only one more oxidation by 19 is required to transform 34 into α -P₄S₅. Similarly, **35** can be converted to α -P₄S₆ by two successive oxidations at the same phosphorus. The very slow reaction between P_4S_3 and **19** dictates that α - P_4S_5 and α - P_4S_6 should not be observed in these oxidations; these intermediate sulfides would be produced in the presence of a large excess of 19, leading to continued and extensive oxidation. There are observed in these oxidations only low concentrations of α -P₄S₇, β -P₄S₈, α -P₄S₉, and P₄S₁₀, as shown in Table 1.

The reactions of two phosphorus sulfides with triphenylantimony sulfide (21) were also investigated, but the results were not as straightforward as those obtained with triphenylarsenic sulfide. The principle reason for the difficulty derives from the self-reaction of 21. In all solvents studied, 21 undergoes a second-order disproportionation reaction to form triphenylantimony (22) and sulfur. The sulfur product of this disproportionation is expected to be an oxidizing species in its own right. Since the effective sulfur donor changes from triphenylantimony sulfide to a form of sulfur during the reaction, a great deal of mechanistic insight is lost.





Figure 7. Disproportionation of 21 and the products of the reaction of S_2 with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene.

The simplest explanation for the second-order decay of 21 is shown in Figure 7. Two molecules of triphenylantimony sulfide are simultaneously reduced with the formation of a molecule of S₂. The reaction could occur in one step as drawn or the intermediate formation of triphenylantimony. The rate at which the disulfide decomposes to S₂ or some other species would have to be fast relative to its formation because it is not observed by NMR spectroscopy. Disulfur, S2, is a transient species isoelectronic with singlet oxygen and has been the object of some interest for the preparation of organic disulfides.^{16–19} The products of the reaction of S2 with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene have served as markers for its formation.¹⁶ At high concentration in a mixture of CS₂ and the butadiene, the expected disulfide and tetrasulfide products, 36 and 37, were formed. They were identified by their ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. There are other possible explanations for the second-order kinetics and the formation of 36 and 37, but the formation of S_2 is consistent with both the kinetics and the formation of products believed to arise from the chemistry of S_2 .

Conclusions. The triphenylarsenic and triphenylantimony sulfides have been shown to rapidly oxidize most of the known binary phosphorus sulfides. Oxidation of a cage phosphorus by the attachment of an exocyclic sulfur is the predominant mode of reactivity. The relative rates of these oxidations observe the order P^{III} > P^{II} > P^I. Oxidation of a PP bond to make a P–S–P group is slower but well within the capabilities of the reagent. Mechanisms for these oxidations are easily drawn from the atom transfer and addition–elimination mechanisms found in classical inorganic chemistry. These oxidations were used to prepare several phosphorus sulfides (α -P₄S₆ and β -P₄S₈) and to aid in the assignment of structures to two others (γ -P₄S₆ and γ -P₄S₈). The unusual disproportionation reaction of triphenylantimony sulfide was observed and a case made for the formation of disulfur, S₂.

Acknowledgment. I thank Claude Jones for his assistance with the NMR spectroscopy. I also need to thank Ed Griffith and Frank Pacholec for allowing me the time to pursue this remarkable chemistry. Finally, I thank Darryl Fee for teaching me the " P_2S_5 " business.

Supporting Information Available: Listings of ³¹P NMR data, representative NMR spectra, and plots of kinetic data (13 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

IC9614881

- (17) Steliou, K.; Salama, P.; Yu, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 1456–1462.
- (18) Tardif, S. L.; Williams, C. R.; Harpp, D. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9067–9068.
- (19) Bosser, G.; Paris, J. New J. Chem. 1995, 19, 391-399.